the Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L), Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, located in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the applicant to file a new application before the two-month waiting period required by 10 CFR 55.35(a) expires and, thereafter, to be re-administered a written examination during the week of December 18, 1995. In their written request, CP&L indicated that the applicant has entered a remediation process, and will be ready for reexamination the week of December 18, 1995.

The proposed action is in accordance with CP&L's request on behalf of its employee, the above-referenced applicant for a Senior Reactor Operator License, dated November 8, 1995, for an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 55.35(a).

## The Need for the Proposed Action

The exemption requested would allow the applicant to be administered a written re-examination during the week of December 18, 1995. This re-examination would be scheduled to coincide with a previously scheduled NRC initial examination visit, and would provide for re-examination prior to the expiration of a two-month time period required by 10 CFR 55.35(a) before an applicant can file a new application in order to retake an initial examination.

## Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the request. The proposed exemption does not change the knowledge and skills requirements for licensing operators, and because the applicant must pass a written examination to be licensed as a Senior Reactor Operator, this proposed exemption would not increase the risk of facility accidents. In addition, the formal action of licensing an operator does not authorize changes to the facility's existing safety limits, safety settings, power operations, or effluent limits.

Because no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure, the change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Regarding potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

## Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded that there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the requested exemption. Denial of the application would not reduce environmental impacts of plant operation. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1 and 2 dated January 1974.

## Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 27, 1995, the staff consulted with the North Carolina State official, Mr. Johnny James, of the Division of Radiation Protection, North Carolina Department of Environmental, Commerce, and Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

## Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's request on behalf of its employee for an exemption dated November 8, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the

University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall Library, 601 S. College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403– 3297

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of November, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Stuart A. Richards,

Chief, Operator Licensing Branch, Division of Reactor Controls and Human Factors, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 95–29658 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Joint Meeting of the Subcommittees on Individual Plant Examinations/Probabilistic Risk Assessment; Postponement

A joint meeting of the ACRS Subcommittees on Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) and on Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) scheduled to be held on December 14 and 15, 1995, in Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland has been postponed due to the need for additional information from the NRC staff. Notice of this meeting was published in the Federal Register on Monday, November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58393). When the meeting is rescheduled, it will be announced in the Federal Register Notice.

For further information contact: Dr. Medhat El-Zeftawy, the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, (telephone 301/415–6889) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST).

Dated: November 28, 1995.
Sam Duraiswamy,
Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.
[FR Doc. 95–29660 Filed 12–05–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 80th meeting on December 19, 20 and 21, 1995, Room T–2B3, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for this meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, December 19, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

Wednesday, December 20, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

Thursday, December 21, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

During this meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. Review of NRC's Programmatic Approach to Low-Level Waste Management. The Committee will conclude its deliberations and issue a report on the alternatives to the future course of the NRC's Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program.

B. National Research Council/ National Academy of Science Committee Report on the Technical Bases for Yucca Mountain Standards. The NRC staff will discuss with the Committee its insights on the subject report.

C. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Activities. The Committee will meet with a representative of the IAEA to discuss relevant waste-related activities.

D. Meeting with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards. The Director will discuss items of current interest related to the Division of Waste Management programs. Among the topics to be discussed: pilot test of survey and statistical methodology for site decommissioning, status of HLW program, and public comment on program options for NRC's LLW program.

E. ACNW Priorities. The Committee will review Task Action Plans for the initial grouping of priority review issues identified by the Committee.

F. Committee Activities/Future Agenda. The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and Working Groups. The Committee will also discuss ACNW-related activities of individual members.

G. Miscellaneous. The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were published in the Federal Register on September 27, 1995 (60 FR 49924). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, electronic recordings will be permitted only

during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch, Mr. Richard K. Major, as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow the necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during this meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the ACNW Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACNW meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with Mr. Major if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting Mr. Richard K. Major, Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch (telephone 301/415–7366), between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. EDT.

ACNW meeting notices, meeting transcripts, and letter reports are now available on FedWorld from the "NRC MAIN MENU." Direct Dial Access number to FedWorld is (800) 303–9672; the local direct dial number is 703–321–3339.

The ACNW meeting dates for Calendar Year 1996 are provided below:

ACNW Meeting No. and 1996 ACNW Meeting Dates

81-January 24-26, 1996

82-March 27-29, 1996

83—May 2-4 or May 15-17, 1996 (TBD)

84—June 26–28, 1996

85—August 21-23, 1996

86—September 25-27, 1996

87—October 22-23, 1996

88-December 10-12, 1996

Dated: November 30, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 95–29661 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## **Biweekly Notice**

Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations

## I. Background

Pursuant to Public Law 97-415, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC staff) is publishing this regular biweekly notice. Public Law 97-415 revised section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), to require the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, under a new provision of section 189 of the Act. This provision grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This biweekly notice includes all notices of amendments issued, or proposed to be issued from November 10, 1995, through November 24, 1995. The last biweekly notice was published on November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58395).

Notice Of Consideration Of Issuance Of Amendments To Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, And Opportunity For A Hearing

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The basis for this proposed determination for each amendment request is shown below.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the